

## PUBLICATION ETHICS AND PUBLICATION MALPRACTICE

The editors evaluate the submitted manuscripts exclusively on the basis of their academic merit (importance, originality, study's validity, and clarity) and their relevance to the journal's scope without regard to race, gender, sexual orientation, religious belief, ethnic origin citizenship, or political philosophy of the authors. The editor-in-chief has full authority over the entire editorial content of the journal and the timing of publication of that content. The Editor-in-Chief is responsible for deciding which of the manuscripts submitted to the journal will be published, based on the validation of the work in question, its importance to researchers and readers, the reviewers' comments, and legal requirements regarding libel, copyright infringement, and plagiarism. Reviews should be conducted objectively and observations formulated with supporting arguments so that authors can use them for improving the manuscript.

Authors of original research should present an accurate account of the work performed and the results, followed by an objective discussion of the significance of the work. The manuscript should contain sufficient detail and references to permit others to replicate the work. Review articles should be accurate, objective, and comprehensive. Fraudulent or knowingly inaccurate statements constitute unethical behaviour and are unacceptable.

Authors should ensure that they have written and submitted only entirely original works, and if they have used the work and/or words of others, that this has been appropriately cited. Plagiarism in all its forms, such as passing off another's paper as the author's own, copying or paraphrasing substantial parts of another's paper (without attribution), or claiming results from research conducted by others, constitutes unethical publishing behaviour and is unacceptable.

Authors may be asked to provide the raw data of their study together with the manuscript for editorial review and should be prepared to make the data publicly available if practicable. In any event, authors should ensure accessibility of such data to other competent professionals for at least 10 years after publication (preferably via an institutional or subject-based data repository or other data centre), provided that the confidentiality of the participants can be protected and legal rights concerning proprietary data do not preclude their release.

Only persons who made significant contributions to the conception, design, execution, data acquisition, or analysis/interpretation of the study and drafted the manuscript or revised it critically for important intellectual content can be listed as authors in the manuscript. Authors must have seen and approved the final version of the paper and agreed to its submission for publication. They must be able to take public responsibility for the content. All contributors who do not meet the criteria for authorship should be listed in an Acknowledgements section.

At the time of the paper's submission, the authors should disclose any conflicts of interest that might be construed to influence the results or their interpretation in the manuscript. Potential conflicts of interest that should be disclosed include financial as well as non-financial ones. Submission of a manuscript concurrently to more than one journal is unacceptable.

If the work involves chemicals, procedures, or equipment that have any unusual hazards inherent in their use, the authors must clearly identify these in the manuscript.

When reporting experiments on animals Observation of the ARRIVE guidelines 2.0: Updated guidelines for reporting animal research, published on July 14, 2020 (https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.3000410), is required. The authors must guarantee that all procedures followed their institution's animal care guidelines or adhere to national/international guidelines. No article can be published unless the study was approved by an ehics committee and informed consent was obtained from human participants; the manuscript should contain a statement to this effect. Authors should also include a statement in the manuscript that the consent of animal owners was obtained for examination or experimentation with the animal patients.

Authors are obliged to participate in the peer review process and cooperate fully by responding promptly to editors' requests for raw data, clarifications, and proof of ethics approval. In the case of necessary revisions, authors should respond to the reviewers' comments systematically and in a timely manner, revising and resubmitting their manuscript to the journal by the deadline given.

When authors discover significant errors or inaccuracies in their own published work, it is their obligation to promptly notify the journal's editors and cooperate with them to either correct the paper in the form of an erratum or retract it.

The journal is freely available online. Authors are required to agree with this open access policy, which enables unrestricted access and reuse of all published articles. The articles are published under the Creative Commons copyright license policy CC-BY ND 4.0 license as currently displayed on http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/.