

EDITORIAL POLICY

The journal welcomes submission of original studies, review articles, and case studies in a wide range of scientific fields with a focus on veterinary sciences, including anatomy, anesthesiology, animal welfare, behaviour, epidemiology, genetics, infectious disease, pharmacology, pathogenic mechanisms, physiology, surgery, toxicology, and vaccinology. Species of interest include production animals, companion animals, equids, exotic animals, birds, reptiles, and wild animals. Reports of laboratory animal studies and studies involving the use of animals as experimental models of human diseases are considered only when the study results are of demonstrable benefit to the species used in the research or to another species of veterinary interest. Other fields of interest or animals species are not necessarily excluded from consideration. Submitted papers are expected to make an original contribution to the veterinary medicine knowledge base or provide a meaningful review of a relevant topic.

All journal submissions are expected to adhere to the highest of ethical standards and best practices in publishing. All writing and research submitted to the journal should present accurate information and properly cite all content referenced from other materials on which the paper builds. We encourage authors to submit images and illustrations to supplement their articles.

Experimental research on vertebrates or any regulated invertebrates must comply with institutional, national, or international guidelines. A statement detailing compliance with relevant guidelines (e.g., Directive 2010/63/EU in Europe) and/or ethical approval (including the name of the ethics committee and the reference number where appropriate) must be included in the manuscript.

Submission of a manuscript to a Folia Veterinaria journal implies that materials described in the manuscript, including all relevant raw data, will be freely available to any scientist wishing to use them for non-commercial purposes, without breaching participant confidentiality.

Any manuscript submitted to Folia Veterinaria journal must be original and the manuscript, or substantial parts of it, must not be under consideration by any other journal. In general, the manuscript should not already have been formally published in any journal or in any other citable form.

Authors must disclose, at submission, any association that poses a conflict of interest in connection with the manuscript and acknowledge all funding sources supporting the work.

All submissions to Folia Veterinaria journals are assessed by an editor, who will decide whether they are suitable for peer review. They may be returned to authors with revision suggestions and will not be sent to peer reviewers until they pass this quality control check. Protecting intellectual property is a primary responsibility of the reviewer and the editor. The text should be checked by a native English speaker duly acknowledged in the manuscript. Non-edited manuscripts could be rejected prior to peer review.

Folia Veterinaria operates a closed double-blind peer review process. This usually involves review by two independent peer reviewers. Reviewers are required to respect the confidentiality of the peer review process and not reveal any details of a manuscript or its review, during or after the peer review process, beyond the information released by the journal. Reviewers and journal editors are expected to provide comments and critiques in a confidential, constructive, prompt, and unbiased manner in search for ways to improve the quality of the manuscript. They are instructed to focus on questions of accuracy, rationale, limitations, and fair comparison to other existing techniques.

Authors may suggest potential reviewers if they wish; however, whether or not to consider these reviewers is at the editor's discretion. Authors who wish to suggest peer reviewers can do so in the cover letter and should provide institutional e-mail addresses of reviewers or information that will help the editor to verify the identity of the reviewer. Authors are encouraged to select reviewers who have expertise in the topic of study and are well-versed in the aspects of the technique presented.

Editors will make a decision based on the reviewers' reports and inform the authors about the editorial decision on their manuscript. The editor has the final authority for the acceptance or rejection of any article. Reasons for rejection can be a low scientific quality, non-conformance to the journal's style/policies, and linguistically or grammatically poor English language.

If the manuscript is considered for publication, the authors are provided with suggestions from peer reviewers and any additional comments from journal editors intended for improving the quality of the manuscript.